



GeoProgress, Onlus

c/c Università del Piemonte O.

Via Perrone 18 - 28100 Novara, Italy

Tel. cell. +393351243112 www.geoprogress.eu

Cod. Fiscale 94063920030

Special Issue of the GeoProgress Journal

on

THE JUST TRANSITION FROM A GEOGRAPHICAL PERSPECTIVE

edited by Maria Giuseppina Lucia (Turin University)

Monica Maglio (Salerno University) and Francesca Silvia Rota (Turin University)

CALL FOR PAPERS

The public and policy debate on sustainable development frequently refers to the need for a global just transition that is just for people and citizens, companies and sectors, cities and regions. Just transition is considered as a highly attractive promising economic model, part of the new inclusive growth paradigm (Global Commission on the Economy and Climate, 2018). The complexity of the topic explains the number of specialized studies, the plurality of scientific approaches, the dissimilar conception of justice (Stavis and Felli, 2020), and the varied definitions of just transition that are more and more present in the literature (Stark, 2023). However, what a just transition entails from a geographical perspective is rarely specified (Heffron and Mc Cauley, 2018; Weller, 2019; Heffron, 2021).

The framework of just transition was introduced in 1970s by labor organizations in the United States, and a decade later in the United Kingdom. Initially, trade unionists understood just transition as a program supporting vulnerable jobs and communities menaced by the threats of closure or relocations of pollutant-intensive industrial sectors due to environmental protection policies (Just transition Centre, 2017). In 2015, the just transition has been then encompassed in the ILO's Decent Work Agenda (Stavis and Felli, 2015; Cha and al., 2020) and COP 21 Climate Change Convention, concerning labour and workers protection. In the subsequent COP meetings, specific commitments have been undertaken to manage the shift toward net zero greenhouse gas emissions (Bergamaschi, 2020; Johansson, 2023). Just transition is also involved in several SDGs (UN-CDP, 2023). The European Union, focussing on the consequences of decarbonisation, has developed policies to mitigate social and economic impacts on citizens, regions and industries of the achievement of climate neutrality. In the European Green Deal context, a Just Transition Fund has been established to support the most vulnerable territories (Moodi and al., 2021) and to ensure no one is left behind (EC, 2024).

A large body of scientific works followed the inclusion of the just transition in the agenda of international institutions, approaching the subject from the perspective of job protection, energy justice, impacts on the economic system, governance, and public perception of decarbonization (Stark and al., 2023). In the definitions formulated by international organizations and scholars (Coenen and al., 2012; Hansen and Coenen, 2015; Heffron, 2021), just transition is usually conceived as a process aimed at ensuring the achievement of economic, social and environmental

sustainability in ways that vary according to the specific economic, social, environmental features of the territorial contexts (Demeterova, 2023). To date, however, limited researches are addressing from a genuine geographical perspective the different social, territorial, environmental, and economic aspects of the transition and their mutual interaction (Jekins and al., 2018; Wang and Lo, 2021).

Consistent with this paradox, we propose to contribute to the academic debate with studies based on a multiscale spatial approach focussing on the mutual influence between the dynamics of transition and the features of different territorial contexts. The special issue *The Just Transition from a Geographical Perspective* aims to provide some valuable hints for policy development that can prevent the achievement of climate neutrality from generating new inequalities.

Theoretical and empirical works on the following topics are welcome (but not limited to):

- Framing of the just transition concept
- Narrative of territorial justice
- Regional implication of just transition
- Just transition in urban and rural area
- Spatial perspectives of resource sovereignty (food, energy etc.)
- Just transition in production, consumption and distribution processes
- Just transition and territorial resilience
- Governance of just transition
- Environmental and climate justice issues
- Polluting countries vs creditor countries
- Decarbonisation and issues of energy inclusion
- Financing just transition
- Geopolitical features of just transition

References

- Bergamaschi, L. (2020), *There Is No Green Deal without a Just Transition*, IAI, 1, pp. 1-5
- Cha J. M., Wander M. and Pastor M. (2020), Environmental Justice, Just Transition and a Low-Carbon Future for California, *Environmental Law Report*, 3, 10216-10227
- Coenen L., Bennenworth P. and Truffer B. (2012), Towards a spatial perspective on sustainability transitions, *Research Policy*, 41, pp. 968–979
- Demeterova B. (2023), Assessing regional capabilities-oriented approaches for European just and sustainable transitions, *Regional Studies* <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2023.2242894>
- EC (2024) https://commission.europa.eu/strategy-and-policy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal/finance-and-green-deal/just-transition-mechanism_en
- Eurofund and EEA (2023), *The Transition to Climate-Neutral Economy: Exploring Socioeconomics Impacts*, Publication Office of European Union, Luxembourg
- Global Commission on the Economy and Climate (2018). *Unlocking the Inclusive Growth Story of the 21st Century: Accelerating Climate Action in Urgent Times* https://newclimateeconomy.report/2018/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2018/09/NCE_2018_FULL-REPORT.pdf
- Hansen T. and Coenen L. (2015), The Geography of sustainable transition: Review, Synthesis and Reflection on an Emergent Research Field, *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 17, pp. 92-109
- Heffron J.R. (2021), *Achieving a Just Transition to a Low Carbon Economy*, Palgrave Mc Millan, London
- Heffron R.J. and Mc Cauley D. (2018), What is Just Transition?, *Geoforum*, 88 , pp. 74-77

- Jenkins K., Sovacool B. and McCauley D. (2018), Humanizing Sociotechnical Transitions Through Energy Justice: An Ethical Framework for Global Transformative Change, *Energy Policy*, 117 (1), pp. 66-74.
- Johansson V. (2023), Just Transition as an Evolving Concept in International Climate Law, *Journal of Environmental Law*, 35(2), pp.: 229–249.
- Just transition Centre (2017), Just transition. A report by OECD. Just transition Centre, . <https://www.oecd.org/environment/cc/g20-climate/collapsecontents/Just-Transition-Centre-report-just-transition.pdf>
- McCauley, and D., Heffron, R.J. (2018), What is the ‘Just Transition’? *Geoforum*, 88, pp.: 74-77.
- Moodie J., Tapia, C. Löfving L., Gassen N.S. and Cedergren E. (2021), Towards a Territorially Just Climate Transition—Assessing the Swedish EU Territorial Just Transition Plan Development Process, *Sustainability*. 13(13):7505.
- Stark A., Gale F. and Murphy-Gragort H. (2023), Just Transitions’ Meanings: A Systematic Review, *Society and Natural Resources*, 36(10), pp.1277-1297
- Stavis D. and Felli R. (2000), Planetary Just Transition? How Inclusive and how Just?, *Earth System Governance*, 6, pp.1-11
- Stavis D. and Felli R. (2015). Global labour unions and just transition to a green economy, *International Environment Agreements* ,15, pp. 29–43.
- Truffer B. and Coenen L. (2012), Environmental innovation and sustainability transitions in regional studies, *Regional Studies*, 46 (1), pp. 1–21
- UN-CDP (2023), Excerpt from Committee for Development Policy, Report on the twenty-fifth session, See Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, 2023, Supplement No. 13 (E/2023/33) <https://www.un.org/development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/CDP-excerpt-2023-1.pdf>
- Wang X. and Lo K. (2021), Just Transition: A conceptual Review, *Energy Research & Social Science*,82, pp.1-10
- Weller S.A. (2019), Just transition? Strategic framing and the challenges facing coal dependent communities. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*, 37(2), pp. 298-316. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2399654418784304>

Paper submission information

Paper should be sent to info@geoprogress.eu, by the following deadlines:

- **March 30, 2024:** Abstract in English or Italian (max 2500 characters) with 3 keywords
- **April 30, 2024:** Abstracts results announcement. An email will be sent to each submitter
- **September 30, 2024:** Articles (maximum 7200 words or 20 pages)

GeoProgress Journal is an **online open access** international peer reviewed journal of development studies.

Submitted manuscripts should not have been published previously, nor be under consideration for publication elsewhere (except conference proceedings papers); they should be well formatted and use correct English (or Spanish or French). A guide for authors and other relevant information for submission of manuscripts is available at the GeoProgress Journal page (<http://www.geoprogress-edition.eu>).

Please use the format (**Style Guidelines for Author**) before submitting a manuscript (see: <https://www.geoprogress-edition.eu/#1625131559181-83dfb443-91b8>)

All the papers will be submitted to double peer-review; and, if accepted, papers will be published in the GeoProgress Journal.

Donations to Geoprogress for supporting its editorial and solidarity activities

Consistent with the association's aims, this and other online publications of Geoprogress are open access but they obviously have a cost. The same is true for initiatives concerning the protection of natural environments, landscape, cultural heritage, mainly for development cooperation programs in poor countries. For these reasons, we urge readers to make a donation to the Association and possibly join and make a personal contribution.

*You can send your **donations** through:*

*Bank transfer to Geoprogress (Novara, via Perrone 18) at INTESA SAN PAOLO, Fil. 55000,
Novara (Italy) BIC: BCITITMM Code Iban: **IT75R0306909606100000016996***